



SABA Publishing

## Solvability of nonlinear coupled system of Urysohn-Volterra quadratic integral equations in generalized Banach Algebras

TAMER NABIL\* 

Suez Canal University, Faculty of Computers and Informatics, Department of Basic Science, Ismailia, Egypt

• Received: 08 June 2024 • Accepted: 23 September 2024 • Published Online: 29 December 2024

### Abstract

In this work, we investigate the solvability of a new class of nonlinear coupled systems of Urysohn-Volterra quadratic integral equations involving the generalized fractional kernel functions. By using the Leray-Schauder version of the fixed point theorem in the vectorial Banach algebra space, we prove the existence of solutions of the proposed system under suitable conditions. We investigate the stability analysis of the proposed system. Moreover, we establish some special examples and particular cases.

Keywords: Fixed point; Banach algebra, nonlinear integral equations, fractional integral, Leray-Schauder kind fixed point theorem.

### 1. Introduction

Integral equations innovate a very important field of functional analysis. This is due to the great importance of the integral equations because it is used in many applications, especially applications stemming from real life events such as nuclear energy [1], heat conduction [2], electromagnetic [3] and signal processing [4].

In addition, the fractional differential and integral equations are applicable in a wide range of other scientific subfields, such as mathematical modeling of emanations from energy sector [5], and the mathematical approach for diseases detection [6, 7, 8].

Operator equations create the basic tool of investigation conducted in the integral equations. In most cases, the proving of solvability of those equations of operators is done via applying fixed point approach. Many researchers established the fixed point theorems of sum and product of more than or equal three operators, (for examples, please see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]).

In 2017, Hashem [17] established the solvability of the system of integral equations of Chandrasekhar kind

\*Corresponding author: [t\\_3bdelsadek@yahoo.com](mailto:t_3bdelsadek@yahoo.com)

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t)) + G_1(t, v(t)) \int_0^t \frac{t}{t+s} U_1(s, v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, a] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, v(t)) + G_2(t, u(t)) \int_0^t \frac{t}{t+s} U_2(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, a], \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where,  $(a > 0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . The solvability results of the system (1.1) is obtained via Amar et al. [11] fixed point version for the block operator  $2 \times 2$  matrix .

Fractional calculus is an essential and useful branch of mathematical analysis that investigated derivatives and integrals of fractional order. A long time ago, there are many definitions for fractional integrals operators, such as Riemann-Liouville, Hadamard, Katagampolg and Erdelyi-Kober fractional integral operators. Recently, in 2017, Almeida [18] proposed new definition of the fractional derivative and called this operator  $\psi$ -Caputo derivative. This new definition is more generalized then Riemann-Liouville, Hadamard, Erdely Kober and Caputo operators kinds.

In 2018, Darwish et al. [19] applied the approach of Darbo's fixed point to investigate the following Urysohn-Volterra integral equation

$$u(t) = f(t, u(t)) + g(t, u(t)) \int_0^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} h(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, a], \quad (1.2)$$

where,  $(a > 0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ . The authors gave the existence of the solution of Equ.(1.2) under some certain conditions. In the same year, Nieto et al. [13] proposed some new versions of the fixed point theorems in algebra generalized Banach spaces. They established the type of Krasnosel'skii and Leray-Schauder fixed point for the product and sum of more than or equal two operators.

In 2019, Hahem et.al.[20], applying again the Amar et al. [11] fixed point approach to study the following system

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \mathcal{F}_1(t, u(t)) + \mathcal{G}_1(t, v(t)) \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{p-1}}{\Gamma(p)} \mathcal{S}_1(s, v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, a], \\ v(t) &= \mathcal{F}_2(t, v(t)) + \mathcal{G}_2(t, u(t)) \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{q-1}}{\Gamma(q)} \mathcal{S}_2(s, u(s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, a], \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where,  $(a > 0) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $p, q \in (0, 1)$ .

In 2020, Abdo [21] considered the existence results for at least one continuous solution for generalized fractional quadratic functional integral equation by using Schauder fixed point theorem.

Consider  $I = [a, b]$ . Let  $C(I)$  be the Banach algebra of all continuous real-valued function on  $I$  with the supremum norm  $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in I} |u(t)|$ ,  $\forall u \in C(I)$  and pointwise product of functions. In this paper, we will apply the Nieto type fixed point theorem in Generalized vectorial algebra Banach space [13] to study the following nonlinear system of fractional integral equations:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b], \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

where,  $I = [a, b]$  such as  $(b > a)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ . The functions  $F_1, F_2, H_1, H_2, U_1, U_2 : I \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. The the function  $\psi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous, increasing,  $\psi \in C^1(I, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\psi'(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ .

This article is organized as. Sect.2 is devoted to give some facts, basic results and definitions which will be used in the outcomes. In sect.3, we investigate the solvability of the system (1.4). In sect. 4, we establish the stability analysis of the system (1.4). Finally, we discuss some applications of the given results in Sect.5.

## 2. Preliminary

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{R}_+^N$  will denote the set  $\{u \in \mathbb{R}^N : a_i < 0 \ \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Let  $u = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N), v = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Define the partial order  $\preceq_N$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  such that:  $u \preceq_N v \Leftrightarrow a_i \leq b_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Also, if  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $u \leq c$  means  $a_i \leq c$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Furthermore,  $|u| = (|a_1|, \dots, |a_N|)$  and  $\max(u, v) = (\max\{a_1, b_1\}, \dots, \max\{a_N, b_N\})$ . Therefore,  $0_N$  be the zero vector of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Next, we state the definition of generalized metric space, for more details, see [13, 22, 23, 24].

**Definition 2.1** [25] Let  $V \neq \varphi$  and  $\rho : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , then  $\rho$  is said to be vector-valued-metric on  $V$  if for all  $(u, v, w) \in V^3$  the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\rho(u, v) \succeq_N 0_N$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(u, v) = 0_N \Leftrightarrow u = v$ ;
- (3)  $\rho(u, v) = \rho(v, u)$ ;
- (4)  $\rho(u, v) \preceq_N \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v)$ .

$(V, \rho)$  is called a generalized metric space and  $\rho$  is defined as

$$\rho(u, v) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1(u, v) \\ \rho_2(u, v) \\ \vdots \\ \rho_N(u, v) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly,  $\rho$  is a generalized metric on  $V$  if and only if  $\rho_i$  are usual metrics, for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . We indicate that, the concepts of the sequences, cauchy sequences, convergence, closed and open subsets and completeness are the same like to those for usual metric spaces.

**Definition 2.2** [13] Let  $V$  be a vectorial space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A vector-valued generalized norm on  $V$  is a map  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^N$  such that for all  $(u, v) \in V$  and  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  the following conditions hold:

- 1)  $\|u\| \succeq_N 0_N$ ;
- 2)  $\|u\| = 0_N \Leftrightarrow u = 0_N$ ;

3)  $\|\kappa u\| = |\kappa| \|u\|$  ;

4)  $\|u + v\| \preceq_N \|u\| + \|v\|$ .

$(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be generalized normed space. If  $(\rho(u, v) = \|u - v\|)$  is complete, then  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is said to be a generalized Banach space.

**Definition 2.3 [13]** Let  $\mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  be the family of all square matrices of size  $N$  which entries are positive real numbers. Suppose that,  $L \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then the spectral radius  $\sigma(L)$  of  $L$  is defined as

$$\sigma(L) = \max\{|\lambda_j(L)| : j = 1, 2, \dots, N\},$$

where  $\lambda_j(L), j = 1, \dots, N$  are the eigenvalues for  $L$ .

**Definition 2.4 [13]** The Generalized Banach algebra  $V$  is algebra that in the same time is also generalized Banach space such that for all  $u, v \in V$ , then

$$\|uv\| \preceq_N \|u\| \|v\|,$$

where

$$\|uv\| = \begin{pmatrix} \|uv\|_1 \\ \|uv\|_2 \\ \vdots \\ \|uv\|_N \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\|u\| \|v\| = \begin{pmatrix} \|u\|_1 \|v\|_1 \\ \|u\|_2 \|v\|_2 \\ \vdots \\ \|u\|_N \|v\|_N \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 2.5 [24]** Let  $(V, \rho)$  be a generalized metric space. The map  $\mathfrak{T} : V \rightarrow V$  is called contractive if  $\exists L \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\sigma(L) < 1$  and

$$\rho(\mathfrak{T}u, \mathfrak{T}v) \preceq_N L\rho(u, v),$$

for all  $(u, v) \in V^2$ .

The following theorem is generalized Leray-Schauder version fixed point [13] which is the main tool to prove the results.

**Theorem 2.1. [13]** Let  $V$  be a generalized Banach algebra,  $0 \in \Omega$  be a bounded, convex and an open subset of  $V$ . suppose that  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 : V \rightarrow V$  and  $\mathcal{T}_3 : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow V$  are such that

(1)  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$  are  $L_1, L_2$  contractive respectively, where  $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $L_1 = (\ell_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$  and  $L_2 = (\bar{\ell}_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ ;

(2)  $\mathcal{T}_3$  is a completely continuous;

(3)  $(\frac{I_V}{\mathcal{T}_1})^{-1}$  exists on  $\mathcal{T}_3(\overline{\Omega})$ , where  $I_V(x) = x$  and  $\frac{I_V}{\mathcal{T}_1} : V \rightarrow V$  begin defined as  $(\frac{I_V}{\mathcal{T}_1})(x) = \frac{x}{\mathcal{T}_1 x}$  ;

(4) Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_N \end{pmatrix} \succeq_N \sup_{u \in \bar{\Omega}} \|\mathcal{T}_3 u\|$$

and

$$L^* = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \ell_{11} & \dots & b_N \ell_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_1 \ell_{N1} & \dots & b_N \ell_{NN} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\ell}_{11} & \dots & \bar{\ell}_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{\ell}_{N1} & \dots & \bar{\ell}_{NN} \end{pmatrix},$$

then  $L^* \in \mathcal{M}_{N \times N}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\sigma(L^*) < 1$ .

Then either:

- (I) there exists  $u = \mathcal{T}_2(u) + \mathcal{T}_1(u)\mathcal{T}_3(u)$  has a solution in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , or
- (II) there exist  $u \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega$  such that

$$u = \lambda \mathcal{T}_2\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda \mathcal{T}_1\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right)\mathcal{T}_3(u),$$

$\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

Next, we recall  $\psi$ -fractional integral operator, for more details, see [18].

**Definition 2.6** Suppose that,  $C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the space of all  $n$ -times continuous and differentiable functions from  $I$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\psi \in C^n(I, \mathbb{R})$ , be an increasing functions such that  $\psi'(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in I$ . Consider  $u : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable function. The  $\psi$ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  of the function  $u$  is defined as

$$J_{0^+}^{\alpha, \psi} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(\zeta) (\psi(t) - \psi(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} u(\zeta) d\zeta ,$$

and the  $\psi$ -Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  of the function  $u$  is defined as

$$D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \psi} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{\psi'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t \psi'(\zeta) (\psi(t) - \psi(\zeta))^{n-\alpha-1} u(\zeta) d\zeta ,$$

where  $n = [\alpha] + 1$  and  $[\alpha]$  denotes the integral part of  $\alpha$ .

### 3. Existence Theory

The proving of the existence of solution of the proposed system (1.4) will be obtained under following assumptions:

(A1) there exists  $q_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  such that

$$|F_1(t, x_1, x_2) - F_1(t, y_1, y_2)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 q_{1i} |x_i - y_i|$$

and

$$|F_2(t, x_1, x_2) - F_2(t, y_1, y_2)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 q_{2i} |x_i - y_i|,$$

for all  $t \in I$  and  $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ;

(A2) there exists  $p_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  such that

$$|H_1(t, x_1, x_2) - H_1(t, y_1, y_2)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 p_{1i} |x_i - y_i|$$

and

$$|H_2(t, x_1, x_2) - H_2(t, y_1, y_2)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 p_{2i} |x_i - y_i|,$$

for all  $t \in I$  and  $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ;

(A3)  $\sigma(P) < 1$  and  $\sigma(Q) < 1$ , where  $Q = (q_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$  and  $P = (p_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$ ;

(A4)  $\exists m_i \in C(I)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and there exists  $m_i^* \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $m_i^* = \|m_i(t)\|_\infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and

$$|U_1(t, u, v)| \leq m_1(t)$$

and

$$|U_2(t, u, v)| \leq m_2(t),$$

for all  $t \in I$  and  $(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ;

(A5)  $c^*[2 + M] < 1$  where  $c^* = \max\{p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}, q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}\}$ , and

$$M = m_1^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + m_2^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}.$$

Now, let  $V = C(I) \times C(I)$ . Define the generalized norm  $\|\cdot\| : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$  as

$$\|(u, v)\| = \begin{pmatrix} \|u\|_\infty \\ \|v\|_\infty \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $(u, v) \in V$ . Clearly  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is generalized Banach algebra. Let,  $d : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^2$  be the generalized metric space induced by norm which is defined as

$$d((u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)) = \begin{pmatrix} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty \\ \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V$

Define the operator  $\mathcal{A}(u, v) = (\mathcal{A}_1(u, v), \mathcal{A}_2(u, v))$  where the superposition operator  $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2$  are defined as:  $[\mathcal{A}_1(u, v)](t) = H_1(t, u(t), v(t))$  and  $[\mathcal{A}_2(u, v)](t) = H_2(t, u(t), v(t))$ . Also, let the operator  $\mathcal{B}(u, v) = (\mathcal{B}_1(u, v), \mathcal{B}_2(u, v))$  where the superposition operator  $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$  are defined as:  $[\mathcal{B}_1(u, v)](t) = F_1(t, u(t), v(t))$  and  $[\mathcal{B}_2(u, v)](t) = F_2(t, u(t), v(t))$ . By the same argument, let  $\mathcal{K}(x, y) = (\mathcal{K}_1(u, v), \mathcal{K}_2(u, v))$  where the superposition operators  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$  are defined as:

$$[\mathcal{K}_1(u, v)](t) = \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds$$

and

$$[\mathcal{K}_2(u, v)](t) = \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds.$$

Define  $\mathbb{T} : V \rightarrow V$  as:

$$\mathbb{T}(u, v) = \mathcal{B}(u, v) + \mathcal{A}(u, v)\mathcal{K}(u, v). \tag{3.3}$$

Clearly, the system (1.4) has a solution if the operator  $\mathbb{T}$  has a fixed point.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that the conditions A1-A3 hold. Then  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are contractive mappings.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \in V$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[\mathcal{A}_1(u_1, v_1)](t) - [\mathcal{A}_1(u_2, v_2)](t)| &= |H_1(t, u_1(t), v_1(t)) - H_1(t, u_2(t), v_2(t))| \\ &\leq p_{11}|u_1(t) - u_2(t)| + p_{12}|v_1(t) - v_2(t)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|\mathcal{A}_1(u_1, v_1) - \mathcal{A}_1(u_2, v_2)\|_\infty \leq p_{11} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty + p_{12} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}_2(u_1, v_1) - \mathcal{A}_2(u_2, v_2)\|_\infty \leq p_{21} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty + p_{22} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$d(\mathcal{A}(u_1, v_1), \mathcal{A}(u_2, v_2)) \preceq_2 P \begin{pmatrix} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty \\ \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since  $\sigma(P) < 1$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is contraction map.

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |[\mathcal{B}_1(u_1, v_1)](t) - [\mathcal{B}_1(u_2, v_2)](t)| &= |F_1(t, u_1(t), v_1(t)) - F_1(t, u_2(t), v_2(t))| \\ &\leq q_{11}|u_1(t) - u_2(t)| + q_{12}|v_1(t) - v_2(t)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1(u_1, v_1) - \mathcal{B}_1(u_2, v_2)\|_\infty \leq q_{11} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty + q_{12} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\mathcal{B}_2(u_1, v_1) - \mathcal{B}_2(u_2, v_2)\|_\infty \leq q_{21} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty + q_{22} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$d(\mathcal{B}(u_1, v_1), \mathcal{B}(u_2, v_2)) \preceq_2 Q \begin{pmatrix} \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty \\ \|v_1 - v_2\|_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $\sigma(Q) < 1$  then  $\mathcal{B}$  is contraction map.

□

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold. Then, there exists  $K^*$  such that, for every  $(u, v) \in V$  solution of the following system*

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \lambda \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda \mathcal{A}_1\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{K}_1(u, v), \\ v &= \lambda \mathcal{B}_2\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) + \lambda \mathcal{A}_2\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{K}_2(u, v), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , we get  $\|u\|_\infty \leq K^*$  and  $\|v\|_\infty \leq K^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(u, v) \in V$  be a solution of (3.12), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u}{\lambda} &= \mathcal{B}_1\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) + \mathcal{A}_1\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{K}_1(u, v), \\ \frac{v}{\lambda} &= \mathcal{B}_2\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) + \mathcal{A}_2\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}, \frac{v}{\lambda}\right) \mathcal{K}_2(u, v), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Let  $\hat{u} = \frac{u}{\lambda}$  and  $\hat{v} = \frac{v}{\lambda}$ . We prove for an estimate of  $\|\hat{u}\|_\infty$  and  $\|\hat{v}\|_\infty$ . The results obtained would be correct for  $\|u\|_\infty$  and  $\|v\|_\infty$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(t)| &\leq |[\mathcal{B}_1(\hat{u}, \hat{v})](t)| + |[\mathcal{A}_1(\hat{u}, \hat{v})](t)| + |[\mathcal{K}_1(\lambda \hat{u}, \lambda \hat{v})](t)| \\ &\leq |F_1(t, \hat{u}(t), \hat{v}(t)) - F_1(t, 0, 0)| + |F_1(t, 0, 0)| \\ &\quad + (|H_1(t, \hat{u}(t), \hat{v}(t)) - H_1(t, 0, 0)| + |H_1(t, 0, 0)|) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |U_1(s, \lambda \hat{u}(s), \lambda \hat{v}(s))| ds \\ &\leq q_{11} |\hat{u}(t)| + q_{12} |\hat{v}(t)| + |F_1(t, 0, 0)| \\ &\quad + (p_{11} |\hat{u}(t)| + p_{12} |\hat{v}(t)| + |H_1(t, 0, 0)|) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |m_1(s)| ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Let,  $c^* = \max\{p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{22}, q_{11}, q_{12}, q_{21}, q_{22}\}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{u}(t)| &\leq c^* (|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)|) [1 + m_1^* \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds] \\ &\quad + |F_1(t, 0, 0)| + |H_1(t, 0, 0)| m_1^* \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$



Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\hat{v}(t)| \leq & c^*(|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)|)[1 + m_2^* \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds] \\
 & + |F_1(t, 0, 0)| + |H_1(t, 0, 0)| m_2^* \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds..
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.16}$$

Let,  $f^* = \max\{\|F_1(t, 0, 0)\|_\infty, \|F_2(t, 0, 0)\|_\infty\}$  and  $h^* = \max\{\|H_1(t, 0, 0)\|_\infty, \|H_2(t, 0, 0)\|_\infty\}$ , then, we have

$$|\hat{u}(t)| \leq c^*(|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)|)[1 + m_1^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}] + f^* + h^* m_1^* \frac{(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.
 \tag{3.17}$$

Also, we have

$$|\hat{v}(t)| \leq c^*(|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)|)[1 + m_2^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}] + f^* + h^* m_2^* \frac{(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}.
 \tag{3.18}$$

Let,  $M = m_1^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} + m_2^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}$ , then by adding the last two equations we get,

$$|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)| \leq c^*(|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)|)[2 + M] + 2f^* + h^* M.
 \tag{3.19}$$

Let,  $\mathbb{L} = c^*[2 + M]$  and  $\mathbb{K} = 2f^* + h^*M$ . Then, if  $\mathbb{L} < 1$ , we get

$$|\hat{u}(t)| + |\hat{v}(t)| \leq \frac{\mathbb{K}}{1 - \mathbb{L}}.
 \tag{3.20}$$

So, it implies that

$$|u(t)| + |v(t)| \leq \frac{|\lambda| \mathbb{K}}{1 - \mathbb{L}} \leq \frac{\mathbb{K}}{1 - \mathbb{L}} = \mathbb{K}^*.
 \tag{3.21}$$

Thus, we get  $\|u\|_\infty \leq \mathbb{K}^*$  and  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \mathbb{K}^*$ . □

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold. The operator  $\mathcal{K}$  is completely continuous.*

*Proof.* The proof is done in 3 steps.

**Step 1.  $\mathcal{K}$  is continuous.** Let  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}$  be a sequence in  $V$  such that  $(u_n, v_n) \rightarrow (u, v) \in V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we have  $u_n \rightarrow u \in C(I)$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v \in C(I)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So, for all  $t \in I$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |[\mathcal{K}_1(u_n, v_n)](t) - [\mathcal{K}_1(u, v)](t)| \\
 &= \left| \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) ds - \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \right| \\
 &\leq \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |U_1(s, u_n(s), v_n(s)) - U_1(s, u(s), v(s))| ds \\
 &\leq \frac{(\psi(t) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|U_1(t, x_n(t), v_n(t)) - U_1(t, u(t), v(t))\|_\infty \\
 &\leq \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|U_1(t, x_n(t), v_n(t)) - U_1(t, u(t), v(t))\|_\infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Thus, from the continuity of  $U_1$ , we have  $\|\mathcal{K}_1(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{K}_1(u, v)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By doing the same steps, we get

$$|[\mathcal{K}_2(u_n, v_n)](t) - [\mathcal{K}_2(u, v)](t)| \leq \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \|U_2(t, x_n(t), v_n(t)) - U_2(t, u(t), v(t))\|_\infty, \tag{3.23}$$

$\|\mathcal{K}_2(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{K}_2(u, v)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we have  $\|\mathcal{K}(u_n, v_n) - \mathcal{K}(u, v)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{K}$  is continuous.

**Step 2. Each bounded sets  $\bar{\Omega}$  in  $V$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\Omega})$  is uniformly bounded.** Define,  $\bar{\Omega} = \{(u, v) \in V : \|u\|_\infty \leq K^*, \|v\|_\infty \leq K^*\}$ , where  $K^*$  is defined in lemma 3.2. Let  $(u, v) \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |[\mathcal{K}_1(u, v)](t)| &\leq \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |U_1(s, u(s), v(s))| ds \\
 &\leq \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |m_1(s)| ds \\
 &\leq m_1^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} = b_1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |[\mathcal{K}_2(u, v)](t)| &\leq \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} |U_2(s, u(s), v(s))| ds \\
 &\leq \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} |m_2(s)| ds \\
 &\leq m_2^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} = b_2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}(u, v)\| \preceq_1 \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.26}$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{K}(\bar{\Omega})$  is uniformly bounded in  $V$ .

**Step 3.  $\mathcal{K}$  is equi-continuous.** Let  $t_1, t_2 \in I$  such that  $t_1 < t_2$ , the we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |[K_1(u, v)](t_1) - [K_1(u, v)](t_2)| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds - \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \right| \\
 & \leq m_1^* \left| \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds - \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right| \\
 & \leq m_1^* \left| \frac{(\psi(t_1) - \psi(1))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} - \frac{(\psi(t_2) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |[K_2(u, v)](t_1) - [K_2(u, v)](t_2)| \\
 & \leq \left| \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds - \int_a^{t_2} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \right| \\
 & \leq m_2^* \left| \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds - \int_a^{t_1} \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} ds \right| \\
 & \leq m_2^* \left| \frac{(\psi(t_1) - \psi(1))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} - \frac{(\psi(t_2) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \right|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

From the uniform continuity of  $\psi$ , then when  $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$ ,  $|[K_1(u, v)](t_1) - [K_1(u, v)](t_2)| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|[K_2(u, v)](t_1) - [K_2(u, v)](t_2)| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{K}$  is equi-continuous. So, it implies that  $\mathcal{K}$  is compact. Thus,  $\mathcal{K} : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow V$  is completely continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let the conditions (A1)-(A5) hold, and assume that  $\sigma(W) < 1$  where*

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 p_{11} & b_2 p_{12} \\ b_1 p_{21} & b_2 p_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} \succeq_2 \sup\{ \|K(u, v)\| : (u, v) \in \bar{\Omega} \},$$

and  $\Omega = \{(u, v) \in V : \|u\|_\infty < K^*, \|v\|_\infty < K^*\}$ . Then the system (1.4) has at least one solution in  $V$ .

*Proof.* Since all the conditions of theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then, the operator  $\mathbb{T}$  has a fixed point  $(u^*, v^*) \in V$ . This fixed point is the solution of the system (1.4).  $\square$

#### 4. Asymptotically stability analysis

$(u, v) \in V$  is called an asymptotically stable solution of the system in the generalized Banach algebra  $V$  (1.4) if  $\forall \varepsilon < 0, \exists T(T = T(\varepsilon)) > 0$  such that for each  $t \geq T(\varepsilon)$  and each  $(u^*, v^*)$  other solution of (1.4),

$$\|(u, v) - (u^*, v^*)\| \preceq_2 \varepsilon.$$

In the next theorem, we investigate the asymptotically stability analysis of the system (1.4).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Under the conditions (A1)-(A5), the system (1.4) is asymptotically stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u, v) \in V$  be a solution of (1.4) and let  $(u^*, v^*)$  is other solution of (1.4), then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t) - u^*(t)| &\leq |F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) - F_1(t, u^*(t), v^*(t))| \\
&+ |H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \\
&- H_1(t, u^*(t), v^*(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s)) ds| \\
&\leq (q_{11} |u(t) - u^*(t)| + q_{12} |v(t) - v^*(t)|) \\
&+ |H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \\
&- H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s)) ds| \\
&+ |H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s)) ds \\
&- |H_1(t, u^*(t), v^*(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s)) ds|
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

From the conditions (A1)-(A4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(t) - u^*(t)| &\leq c^*(|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) \\
&+ |H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) - U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s))| ds \\
&+ |H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) - H_1(t, u^*(t), v^*(t))| \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} |U_1(s, u^*(s), v^*(s))| ds \\
&\leq c^*(|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) \\
&+ 4 m_1^* (c^*(\|u\|_\infty + \|v\|_\infty) + |H_1(t, 0, 0)|) \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\
&+ c^* m_1^* (|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \\
&\leq c^* (1 + m_1^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}) (|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) + 2 m_1^* (2 K^* c^* + h^*) \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |v(t) - v^*(t)| &\leq |F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) - F_2(t, u^*(t), v^*(t))| \\
 &+ |H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\beta(\alpha)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds \\
 &- H_2(t, u^*(t), v^*(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u^*(s), v^*(s)) ds| \quad (4.3) \\
 &\leq c^*(1 + m_2^* \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)})(|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) \\
 &+ 2 m_2^* (2 K^* c^* + h^*) \frac{(\psi(b) - \psi(a))^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)| \leq c^*(2 + M)(|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)|) + 2 M (2 K^* c^* + h^*) \quad (4.4)$$

According to the condition (A5), it follows that

$$|u(t) - u^*(t)| + |v(t) - v^*(t)| \leq \frac{2 M (2 K^* c^* + h^*)}{1 - c^*(2 + M)} = \epsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, we have  $|u(t) - u^*(t)| \leq \epsilon$  and  $|v(t) - v^*(t)| \leq \epsilon$ , and (1.4) is asymptotically stable. □

### 5. Applications and special cases

we present the following example, which indicate how the obtained results can be used to particular problems.

#### 5.1. An Example

Consider the following system

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= \frac{|u(t)| + |v(t)|}{4 + |u(t)| + |v(t)|} \\
 &+ \frac{t^2 + u(t) + v(t)}{te^{-t^2} + 4} \int_0^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \frac{(2s^2 + e^{-s^2})(u(s) + v(s))}{20 + u(s) + v(s)} ds, \quad t \in [0, 1] \\
 v(t) &= \frac{t + \sin(|u(t)| + |v(t)|)}{4 + t^2} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{(t + 2)^2} \arctan(|v(t)| + |u(t)|) \int_0^t \frac{\psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \frac{\sin(u(s) + v(s))}{10 + s^2 + s^4} ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (5.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi(t) = \frac{t^2+t}{2}$ . Here, we get

$$F_1(t, u, v) = \frac{|u| + |v|}{4 + |u| + |v|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_2(t, u, v) &= \frac{t + \sin(|u| + |v|)}{4 + t^2}, \\
H_1(t, u, v) &= \frac{t^2 + u + v}{te^{-t^2} + 4}, \\
H_2(t, u, v) &= \frac{1}{(t + 2)^2} \arctan(|u| + |v|), \\
U_1(t, u, v) &= \frac{(2t^2 + e^{-t^2})(u + v)}{20 + u + v}, \\
U_2(t, u, v) &= \frac{\sin(u + v)}{10 + t^2 + t^4}.
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is clear that all the maps  $F_1, F_2, H_1, H_2, U_1$  and  $U_2$  are continuous. Furthermore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|F_1(t, u_1, v_1) - F_1(t, u_2, v_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}|u_1 - u_2| + \frac{1}{4}|v_1 - v_2|, \\
|F_2(t, u_1, v_1) - F_2(t, u_2, v_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}|u_1 - u_2| + \frac{1}{4}|v_1 - v_2|, \\
|H_1(t, u_1, v_1) - H_1(t, u_2, v_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}|u_1 - u_2| + \frac{1}{4}|v_1 - v_2|, \\
|H_2(t, u_1, v_1) - H_2(t, u_2, v_2)| &\leq \frac{1}{4}|u_1 - u_2| + \frac{1}{4}|v_1 - v_2|,
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, we easily obtain  $\sigma(P) = \frac{1}{2} < 1$ ,  $\sigma(Q) = \frac{1}{2} < 1$  and  $c^* = \frac{1}{4}$ . Consequently, we have  $m_1^* = m_2^* = \frac{1}{10}$ . Therefore, we get

$$|U_1(t, u, v)| \leq m_1(t) = \frac{t}{10}$$

and

$$|U_2(t, u, v)| \leq m_2(t) = \frac{1}{10}.$$

Also,  $b_1 = b_2 \cong \frac{1}{9}$ , so, we get

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 p_{11} & b_2 p_{12} \\ b_1 p_{21} & b_2 p_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q_{11} & q_{12} \\ q_{21} & q_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{18} & \frac{5}{18} \\ \frac{5}{18} & \frac{5}{18} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that:  $\sigma(W) = \frac{5}{9} < 1$ . Thus, the inequality  $c^*(2 + M) \cong 2.56 < 1$  be verified. Hence, all the conditions from (A1)–(A5) are satisfied. From Theorem 3.4, we conclude that the system (5.1) has at least one solution and this solution is asymptotic stable.

### 5.2. Some special cases

The system (1.4) is very general fractional integral system. Consequently, the system include the following special cases:

(1) Putting  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ , then we have the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

(2) If  $F_1(t, u, v) = f_1(t, u)$ ,  $F_2(t, u, v) = f_2(t, v)$ ,  $H_1(t, u, v) = g_1(t, v)$ ,  $H_2(t, u, v) = g_2(t, u)$ ,  $U_1(t, u, v) = S_1(t, v)$ ,  $U_2(t, u, v) = S_2(t, u)$  and  $\psi(t) = t$ , then we get the system (1.3).

(3) Letting  $\psi(t) = t$ , then we get the following system of integral equations of Riemann-Liouville kernel kind

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

(4) Letting  $\psi(t) = t^\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then we get the following system of integral equations of Erdely-Kober kernel kind

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\gamma s^{\gamma-1} (t^\gamma - s^\gamma)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\gamma s^{\gamma-1} (t^\gamma - s^\gamma)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

(5) Letting  $I = [1, e]$ ,  $\psi(t) = \ln(t)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , then we get the following system of weakly singular integral equations of Hadamard kernel kind

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_1^t \ln\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{s\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [1, e] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_1^t \ln\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{s\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [1, e]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Finally we can state the following results for the above special case

**Theorem 5.1.** *Under the conditions (A1)-(A5), the the following system of integral equations of Riemann-Liouville type has at least one asymptotically stable solution in  $V$ .*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

**Theorem 5.2.** *the following system of integral equations of Erdely Kober type has at least one asymptotically stable solution in  $V$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\gamma s^{\gamma-1} (t^\gamma - s^\gamma)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_a^t \frac{\gamma s^{\gamma-1} (t^\gamma - s^\gamma)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

**Theorem 5.3.** *Under the conditions (A1)-(A5), the following system of weakly singular integral equations of Hadamard type has at least one asymptotically stable solution in  $V$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= F_1(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_1(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_1^t \ln\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{s\Gamma(\alpha)} U_1(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [1, e] \\ v(t) &= F_2(t, u(t), v(t)) + H_2(t, u(t), v(t)) \int_1^t \ln\left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{\beta-1} \frac{1}{s\Gamma(\beta)} U_2(s, u(s), v(s)) ds, \quad t \in [1, e]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

## 6. Conclusion

In this article, a nonlinear system of integral equation with  $\psi$ -kernels is considered in generalized Banach algebras. We investigate the solvability of the proposed system via generalized Leray-Schauder fixed point approach. The stability analysis of the proposed system was studied. The reported results in this paper are recent and significantly contribute to the existing literature on the subject. It was concluded that the proposed system is very general and involves many special cases, we gave some of those special cases and illustrative example.

## References

- [1] M. A. Polo-Labarríos, S. Q. García, G. E. Paredes, L. F. Pérez and J. O. Villafuerta; Novel numerical solution to the fractional neutron point kinetic equation in nuclear reactor dynamics, *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 137(2020), Article ID: 10717, DOI: [10.1016/j.anucene.2019.107173](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anucene.2019.107173).
- [2] H. Chen, J.I. Frankel and M. Keyhani; Two-probe calibration integral equation method for nonlinear inverse heat conduction problem of surface heat flux estimation, *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 121(2018), 246–264.
- [3] T. E. Roth and W. C. Chew; Stability analysis and discretization of  $A - \Phi$  time domain integral equations for multiscale electromagnetic, *Journal of Computational Physics*, in press, Article ID 109102, 2019, DOI: [10.1016/j.jcp.2019.109102](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2019.109102).
- [4] E. Cuesta, M. Kirance and S. A. Malik; Image structure preserving denoising generalized fractional time integrals, *Signal Processing*, 92(2012), 553–563.
- [5] Kamal Shah and Thabet Abdeljawad; On complex fractal-fractional order mathematical modeling of CO<sub>2</sub> emanations from energy sector, *Physica Scripta* 99.1 (2023): 015226.
- [6] Kamal Shah, Muhammad Sher, Muhammad Sarwar; Thabet Abdeljawad. Analysis of a nonlinear problem involving discrete and proportional delay with application to Houseflies model; *AIMS Mathematics*, 2024, 9(3): 7321-7339. doi: [10.3934/math.2024355](https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2024355).
- [7] Muhammad Riaz, Kamal Shah, Thabet Abdeljawad, Inas Amacha, Asma Al-Jaser, Manar Alqudah; A comprehensive analysis of COVID-19 nonlinear mathematical model by incorporating the environment and social distancing; *Scientific Reports* 14.1 (2024): 12238.



- [8] Eiman, Kamal Shah, Muhammad Sarwar, Thabet Abdeljawad. On rotavirus infectious disease model using piecewise modified ABC fractional order derivative; *Networks and Heterogeneous Media*, 2024, 19(1): 214-234. doi: [10.3934/nhm.2024010](https://doi.org/10.3934/nhm.2024010).
- [9] D. O'Regan; *Fixed point theory for the sum of two operator*, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 9(1996), 1–8.
- [10] B. C. Dhage; *Multi-valued operators and fixed point theorems in Banach algebras*, I, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 10(2006), 1025–1045.
- [11] A. B. Amar, A. Jeribi and B. Krichen; *Fixed point theorems for block matrix and an applications to a structured problem under boundary conditions of Rotenberg's model type*, *Math. Slovaca*, 64(2014), 155–174.
- [12] B. C. Dhage; *Coupled hybrid fixed point theory involving the sum and product of three coupled operators in a partially ordered Banach algebra with applications*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 19(2017), 3231–3264–732.
- [13] J.J.Nieto, A. Ouahab and R.Rodriguez-Lopez; *Fixed point theorems in generalized Banach Algebras and applications*, *Fixed Point Theory*, 19 (2018), 707–732.
- [14] T. Nabil; *Krasnoselskii N-Tupled fixed point theorem with applications to fractional nonlinear dynamical system*, *Advances in Mathematical Physics*, 2019 (2019), Article ID 6763842, 1–9.
- [15] J. Mathuraiveeran, R. Pandiselvi Selvi, D. Poovaragavan; *Common Fixed Point Theorems In Anti Fuzzy Metric Spaces*. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling*, 2023, 4(1), 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.48185/jmam.v4i1.664>.
- [16] M. Orina, B. Okelo, R. K. Obogi; *On Orthogonality of Elementary Operators in Normed Spaces*. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling*, 2023, 4(1), 52–77. <https://doi.org/10.48185/jmam.v4i1.505>
- [17] H. H. G. Hahem; *Solvability of a  $2 \times 2$  block operator matrix Chandrasekhar type on a Banach algebra*, *Filomat*, 31(2017), 5169–5175.
- [18] R. Almeida; *A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function*, *Commun. Non-linear Sci. Numer. Simul.*, 44(2017), 460–481.
- [19] M. A. Darwish, J. R. Graef and K. Sadaragan, *On Urysohn-Volterra fractional quadratic integral equations*, *Journal of Applied Analysis and Computation*, 8(2018), 331–343.
- [20] H. H. G. Hahem, A.M.A. El-Sayed and D. Baleanu; *Existence results for block matrix Operator of fractional Orders in Banach algebras*, *Mathematics*, 7(2019), 856.
- [21] M. S. Abdo, *Further results on the existence of solutions for generalized fractional quadratic functional integral equations: Generalized fractional quadratic functional integral equations*; *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Modeling*, 2020, 1(1), 33–46.
- [22] M. Cvetkovic and V. Rakocevic; *Extensions of Perov theorem*, *Carpathian J. Math.*, 31(2015), 181–188.
- [23] M. Cvetkovic; *On the equivalence between Perov fixed point theorem and Banach contraction principle*, *Filomat*, 31(2017), 3137–3146.
- [24] A. I. Perov; *On the Cauchy problem for a system of ordinary differential equations*, *Pvblizhen. Met. Reshen. Differ. Unavn.*, 2(1964), 115–134.
- [25] M. Jleli, D. O'Regan and B. Samet; *Lyapunov-type inequalities for coupled systems of nonlinear fractional differential equations via a fixed point approach*, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2019), 21:45.